678

9

10

11121314

15

16

17

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

20

27

28

The capacity to sue is determined by the law of the individual's domicile. Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(b)(1). For example, an individual under the age of eighteen is a minor without the capacity to sue in California. *See* Cal. Fam. Code § 6502.

The decision to appoint a guardian *ad litem* under Rule 17(c) is at the sound discretion of the trial court and is typically made on an *ex parte* application. *See A.G.*, 2018 WL 2002370, at *2 (citing *Davis v. Walker*, 745 F.3d 1303, 1310 (9th Cir. 2014) and *Student A v. Berkeley Unified Sch. Dist.*, No. 17-cv-02510-MEJ, 2017 WL 2171254, at *1 (N.D. Cal. May 17, 2017) ("When there is no conflict of interest, the guardian *ad litem* appointment is usually made on *ex parte* application and involves minimal exercise of discretion by the trial court.")).

"Fit parents are presumed to act in the best interests of their children." J.B. by & Through Billiet v. Tuolumne Cnty. Superintendent of Schools, No. 19-cv-0858-NONE-EPG, 2021 WL 3115195, at *2 (E.D. Cal. July 22, 2021) (citing Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57, 66 (2000); Doe v. Heck, 327 F.3d 492, 521 (7th Cir. 2003)); see also Brown v. Alexander, No. 13-cv-01451-RS, 2015 WL 7350183 at *2 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 2015) ("In general, a parent who is also a party to the lawsuit is presumed to be a suitable guardian *ad litem*, and so the court often appoints the parent as guardian ad litem upon receipt of an ex parte application without exercising much discretion.") (citation omitted). Absent a conflict of interest, "[a] parent is generally appointed guardian ad litem." A.G., 2018 WL 2002370, at *3 (citing Anthem Life Ins. Co. v. Olguin, No. 06-cv-01165-AWI NEW (TAG), 2007 WL 1390672, at *3 (E.D. Cal. May 9, 2007)); accord J.M. v. Liberty Union High Sch. Dist., No. 16-cv-05225-LB, 2016 WL 4942999, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Sept.16, 2016). However, "[w]hen there is a potential conflict between a perceived parental responsibility and an obligation to assist the court in achieving a just and speedy determination of the action, a court has the right to select a guardian ad litem who is not a parent if that guardian would best protect the child's interests." J.M., 2016 WL 4942999, at *1 (internal quotation marks omitted).

REQUIRED PROCEDURE

Consistent with the applicable law and rules set forth above, the Court orders as follows:

A. Cases Currently Pending in this MDL

- 1. All individual plaintiffs who are "minors," i.e., they lack the capacity to sue in the state where they are domiciled, and whose cases have been filed or transferred to this MDL on the date of this Order, must submit to Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel, within 30 days of this Order, (a) an *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardian *Ad Litem* ("Application") consistent with Attachment A hereto, or (b) an order demonstrating that a guardian, conservator, or other representative authorized to represent the minor's interest in this case has already been appointed by a state or federal court.
- 2. The Application requires the following information be submitted under penalty of perjury:
 - a. The applicant's name and contact information (including address, email, and telephone number);
 - b. The name, case number, state of domicile (and its minimum age of capacity),
 date of birth, and attorney of record of the minor plaintiff whom the applicant seeks to represent;
 - c. The applicant's relationship to the minor plaintiff (e.g., parent or legal guardian, or a statement of the applicant's relationship to the minor plaintiff);
 and
 - d. A statement affirming that the applicant is fully competent and qualified to understand and protect the rights of the minor plaintiff and has no interests adverse to the interests of that person.
- 3. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel shall compile the completed Applications and/or orders and submit them to the Court for consideration in a Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardian *Ad Litem* ("Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application").
- 4. Applications submitted by parents or legal guardians shall be deemed presumptively approved upon filing. There is no apparent conflict between the applicants' parental responsibility and their obligation to assist the Court in "achieving a just and speedy determination of the action." *J.M.*, 2016 WL 4942999, at *2.
 - 5. Absent the filing of an objection, the presumptive approval shall become final 15

1	Attachment A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY	Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR
12	PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 3047
13		EX PARTE APPLICATION AND
14	This Document Relates to:	[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIAN
15	ALL ACTIONS	AD LITEM
16		
17		
18	Pursuant to this Court's Order Regarding Appointments of Guardian Ad Litem, the	
19	undersigned Applicant hereby seeks an appointment as a guardian <i>ad litem</i> in this litigation.	
20	1. Guardian Ad Litem Applicant Name:	
21	2. Applicant Contact Information:	
22	a. Address:	
23	b. Telephone number:	
24	c. Email:	
25	3. The Applicant seeks to represent the interests of the following plaintiff (referred to	
26	hereinafter as "Minor Plaintiff") in the above-captioned litigation:	
27	a. Name:	
28	b. State of Domicile:	

1	c. Minimum Age of Capacity to Sue in State of Domicile:		
2	d. Date of Birth:		
3	e. Case Number:		
4	f. Attorney of Record:		
5	4. The Minor Plaintiff is a minor without the capacity to sue pursuant to the laws of the		
6	state of domicile.		
7	5. The Court should appoint a guardian ad litem pursuant to the Court's Order Regarding		
8	Appointments of Guardian Ad Litem, and the Minor Plaintiff has no other appointed		
9	guardian or conservator of their estate authorized to act on their behalf in this		
10	litigation.		
11	6. The applicant and proposed guardian <i>ad litem</i> has the following relationship to the		
12	Minor Plaintiff they are seeking to represent is (select one):		
13	a. Parent		
14	b. Legal Guardian		
15	c. Not Related (explain relationship):		
16	7. The Applicant is fully competent and qualified to understand and protect the rights of		
17	the person they will represent and has no interests adverse to the interests of that		
18	person. (If there are any issues of competency or qualification or any possible adverse		
19	interests, describe and explain why the proposed guardian should nevertheless be		
20	appointed.)		
21			
22	Submitted by:		
23	Date:		
24	A		
25	Attorney Name (Printed) Attorney Signature		
26			
27			
28			

1	APPLICANT DECLARATION		
2	I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the foregoing is true		
3	and correct.		
4			
5	Date:		
6			
7	Applicant Name (Printed)	Applicant Signature	
8	CONSENT TO ACT AS GUARDIAN AD LITEM		
9	I consent to the appointment of guardian <i>ad litem</i> under the above petition.		
10	Date:		
11			
12	Applicant Name (Printed)	Applicant Signature	
13			
14		ORDER	
15	Based on the foregoing, the Court finds that it is reasonable and necessary to appoint a		
16	guardian ad litem for the Minor Plaintiff identified in paragraph 3 as requested.		
17	The Court orders that the above-identified Applicant is hereby appointed guardian ad		
18	litem for the Minor Plaintiff identified in paragraph 3, above.		
19	It Is So Ordered.		
20	Date:		
21	_	YYYANY E GANGLY EG DA GEDA	
22		YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		EX DADTE ADDITION AND IDDODOSEDLODDED	